

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/810,947 | 03/26/2004 | Karl Martin Aegerter | AEGRK-001A | 9898 |
| 7663 | 7590 09/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER 75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 | | | NGUYEN, NINH H | |
| | ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 3745 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/810,947 | AEGERTER, KARL MARTIN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ninh H. Nguyen | 3745 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin i. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und | This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-31,33 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 32,35 and 36 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | drawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. niner. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Semection is required if the drawing(s) is objected the drawing(s) is object | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. nents have been received in Applicati priority documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | |

į

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Re-numbering

There are two claims 35 in the application. Therefore, the second claim 35 has been renumbered as claim 36 according to rule 1. 126.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 7, after "at a midsection of said hub,", "said hub" should be changed to --said wheel--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 27-29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aegerter (WO 79/00969).

Aegerter discloses a gas-jet driven device (Figs. 9-13) comprising a rotor comprising a hub 16a adapted to rotate about a center axis; a jacketed wheel 36a concentrically surrounding the hub and connected to the hub by a jacketed radial section 26a, and having a radially inclined arm 144 attached to a bottom end of the hub, the wheel supported by a plurality of tubular radial spokes 26a-28a; and a gas conduit having an inlet 35a and exhaust outlet 147, the conduit

defined by a first section routed through a first upper vertical section of the hub (Figs. 9, 10), a second section routed through one of the plurality of spokes, a third section routed through a lower internal annular section of the hub, and a fourth section routed through the radial arm an terminating at the exhaust outlet 147; wherein the rotor is driven about the center axis by flowing pressurized gas through the inlet such that a jet is formed at the exhaust outlet;

wherein the device further comprising a housing 12a (Fig. 9) adapted to support the hub in a vertical orientation about the center axis and to substantially enclose and support the hub and the jacketed wheel in a horizontally orientation; and

wherein the hub rotatably mounted to the upper and lower walls by bearings 17a, 18a (Fig. 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aggerter.

Aegerter discloses all the limitations except there is not a cylindrical wall positioned between the upper and lower walls as claimed.

Since the applicant has not disclosed that having a cylindrical wall positioned between the upper and lower walls solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the cylindrical wall is only a part of a stationary housing and it appears that the

Page 4

transverse wall part between upper wall 14a and lower wall 13a of Aegerter would perform equally well with the shape as defined claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the transverse wall part of Aegerter by utilizing the specific shape as claimed.

6. Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aegerter in view of Kollsman (2,569,997).

Aegerter discloses all the limitations except the device does not comprise a base stand for supporting the rotor above a floor as claimed.

Kollsman teaches a jet device (Fig. 1) comprising a rotor disposed inside a housing 11, and the housing is supported on a base stand 12 having a square foot print for supporting the device.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the device of Aegerter with a base stand of Kollsman for the purpose of proving a support for the device as taught by Kollsman.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-15, and 16-26, due to the limitation of a metallic band snuggly encircling the part defining the orbital path to add mass to the rotor, are allowed.
- 8. Claims 32, 35, and 36 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of 1 patent.

Green (3,026,088) is cited to show a jet-driven turbine.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ninh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4823. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached at (571) 272-4820. The fax number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/810,947

Art Unit: 3745

Page 6

system, please go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NINH H. NGUYEN

Nhn

September 2, 2005